

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
3

4 OSCAR WILFREDO DE LEON, *et al.*,
5 Plaintiffs,
6 v.
7 R-THREE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
8 Defendant.
9

Case No. 2:23-cv-01452-JAD-NJK

Order

[Docket No. 59]

10 Pending before the Court is Defendant's proposed discovery plan. Docket No. 59.

11 On April 18, 2025, the Court ordered Defendant's counsel to make herself available for a
12 Rule 26(f) conference and for the parties to file a joint proposed discovery plan by April 24, 2025.
13 Docket No. 56. Defendant's counsel submits that she provided availability for the conference, that
14 Plaintiffs' counsel never noticed any conference, and that she has received no communication from
15 Plaintiffs' counsel. *See* Docket Nos. 57 at 1, 58 at 1.

16 Pursuant to Local Rule 26-1(a), the parties are to submit a stipulated discovery plan and
17 scheduling order. Accordingly, Defendant's proposed discovery plan is **DENIED** without
18 prejudice. Docket No. 59. Plaintiffs are **ORDERED** to contact Defendant and schedule the 26(f)
19 conference no later than April 28, 2025. The parties must file a joint proposed discovery plan, no
20 later than April 30, 2025. If Plaintiffs fail to contact Defendant, Defendant must file notice of said
21 failure, no later than April 29, 2025. **THE FAILURE TO COMPLY OF ANY PARTY'S**
22 **COUNSEL MAY RESULT IN SANCTIONS.**

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 Dated: April 25, 2025

25 
26 Nancy J. Koppe
27 United States Magistrate Judge
28